SECOND AMENDMENT

TO

AMENDED AND RESTATED MOVING TO WORK AGREEMENT BETWEEN U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND SEATTLE HOUSING AUTHORITY

This Second Amendment to the Moving to Work ("MTW") Agreement ("Agreement") is entered into by and between the United States of America through the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD") and the Seattle Housing Authority ("Agency") and is effective on the date of execution by HUD. Unless otherwise defined, all capitalized terms used herein shall have the same meanings ascribed to them in the Agreement.

Attachment D is amended as follows:

The following language is added to Seattle Housing Authority's Attachment D:

Use of Replacement Housing Factor Funds for Development

The Agency and HUD acknowledge that Section B(1)(a) of Attachment C of this Agreement regarding the ability to combine funds awarded annually pursuant to Section 8(o), 9(d) and 9(e) of the U.S. Housing Act of 1937 ("1937 Act") into a single, authority-wide funding source ("MTW Funds") is inclusive of replacement housing factor ("RHF") funds provided for at 24 CFR 905.10(i) provided the conditions detailed below are met. The Agency may exercise one of the following options in the administration of RHF funds.

- 1) Option 1: The Agency may administer its RHF awards outside of its MTW Funds. These funds must be used in accordance with RHF requirements and may accumulate under an approved RHF Plan or be subject to the two-year obligation and four year expenditure deadlines. The Agency would be eligible for second increment RHF funds, which would be administered outside of the agency's MTW Funds.
- 2) Option 2: The Agency may combine its first increment RHF funds in its MTW Funds and use the funds for any purpose allowable in this Agreement and approved in an MTW Plan, as detailed in Section B(1)(e) of Attachment C of this Agreement. Obligation and expenditure requirements of Section 9(j) of the 1937 Act still apply to these funds. If administering first increment RHF funds in this way, the Agency forgoes eligibility for second increment RHF funds.
- 3) Option 3: If the Agency combines its first increment RHF funds in its MTW Funds pursuant to Option 2, but wants to receive a second increment of RHF funds, while the Agency may use the funds for any purpose allowable in this Agreement and approved in an MTW Plan, the Agency must spend a portion of its MTW Funds for construction of new public and/or affordable housing. The amount of MTW Funds the Agency must spend on construction of new public and/or affordable units must be equal to or greater than the total amount of RHF funds included in the MTW Funds. In addition, the number of new public and/or affordable units it constructs must be equal to or greater than the number of public housing units the

- x) Prior to implementing Option 3, an Agency must include the development of the new public and/or affordable units in their MTW Plan and include RHF funds in Section 7, the Sources and Uses Chart.
- xi) As long as the Agency has included in its MTW Plan the construction of the new public and/or affordable units and its intention to combine RHF funds in the MTW Block Grant and receive second increment RHF funds, the Agency does not need to submit an RHF Plan to HUD. However, the MTW Plan must include the information required in an RHF Plan, as prescribed by HUD.
- xii) The Agency must include in its annual MTW Report an update on the amount of RHF funds included in the MTW Block Grant, the amount of funds spent on construction of new public and/or affordable housing, the number of units being constructed, and the status of construction. The Agency must show significant progress on construction of units during the first increment of RHF funds in order to receive second increment RHF funds.

Notwithstanding the above, such funds remain Federal funds, and are subject to any and all other Federal requirements outside of the 1937 Act (e.g., including but not limited to Appropriations Acts, competitive HUD notices of funding availability under which the Agency has received an award, state and local laws, Federal statutes other than the 1937 Act, and OMB Circulars and requirements), as modified from time to time.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have caused this Second Amendment to be executed by their duly authorized representatives.

SEATTLE HOUSING AUTHORITY

Name: Thomas M. Tierney

Name: Thomas M. Tierney
Its: Executive Director

Date: 4-16-12

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING

AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Name: Sandra B. Henriquez

Its: Assistant Secretary, Public and Indian

Housing

ate: 11/26/20

Second Amendment Seattle Housing Authority

Internal HUD Distribution:								
						·		
Identification Lines:								
J:\PIH-OSDTH-OCI\MTW - Moving to Work\PHAs\Seattle\Standard Agreement\RHF Amendment\SHA RHF AMENDMENT (Memo to Henriquez - 11.07.12).doc								
Correspondenc e Code	Originator Pl	Concurrence PI	Concurrence CAHBB	Concurrence CAHBB	Concurrence	Concurrence P	Concurrence P	Concurrence P
Name	Smith, A.	Powr, I.	Bailin, R.	Sims, H	Blom, D.	Bolton, D	Hernandez, D	Henriquez,
Date	XVX11/7/12	Olylek		11.19-12	2 71/29	12 11/20/12	21/2/12	NION

Official Record Copy

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Previous edition is obsolete.

form HUD-713.1 (02/03)