## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT OFFICE OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS

In the r. Matter of:		
		20-AM-0258-AG-162
Christine Harrington		721017039
	Petitioner,	December 16, 2020

## **RULING AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL**

On September 18, 2020, the Secretary filed a *Motion to Dismiss* Petitioner's appeal on the grounds that imposition of a repayment plan at this time would cause undue financial hardship for Petitioner. *Id.* For the foregoing reason, the Secretary's motion is GRANTED. Accordingly, it is

**ORDERED** that Petitioner's appeal is hereby **DISMISSED**, without prejudice. It is

**FURTHER ORDERED** that the *Stay of Referral* of this matter to the U.S. Department of the Treasury, previously entered in this case on or about August 18, 2020, is hereby VACATED.

SO ORDERED,

H. Alexander Manuel Administrative Judge

Daranel

**APPEAL NOTICE**: You have the right to move for reconsideration of this case before the HUD Office of Hearings and Appeals within 20 days of the date of this ruling or decision; or, thereafter, to reopen this case. Ordinarily, such motions will not be granted unless you can demonstrate that you have new evidence to present that could not have been previously presented. You may also appeal this decision to the appropriate United States District Court. For wage garnishments cases, See 24 C.F.R. § 17.81, 31 C.F.R. § 285.119f), and 5 U.S.C. 701, *et seq.* For administrative offset cases, See 24 C.F.R. § 17.73(a), and 5 U.S.C. § 701, *et seq.*