## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT OFFICE OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS

In the Matter of:

Brian Blake,

17-AM-081-AG-060

721010048

Petitioner,

July 12, 2022

## **RULING AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL**

On or about September 1, 2021, the Secretary filed the Secretary's Statement in Response to Petitioner's Claim of Invalid Wage Garnishment and Motion to Dismiss ("Sec'y Resp."). The Secretary seeks dismissal of this appeal on the grounds that "[t]he Secretary has determined that HUD received a payment in the amount of \$2,565.97 on March 17, 2021 by means of the Treasury Offset Program, which has paid HUD's claim in full." *Id*, ¶ 5. Upon consideration of the Sec'y Resp., and without objection, the motion to dismiss set forth in the Sec'y Resp. is GRANTED. It is

**ORDERED** that Petitioner's appeal is hereby **DISMISSED**, *with prejudice*. The *Stay of Referral* of this matter to the U.S. Department of the Treasury entered on March 12, 2019, is hereby **VACATED**.

SO ORDERED,

H. ALEXANDER MANUEL Administrative Judge

**APPEAL NOTICE**: You have the right to move for reconsideration of this case before the HUD Office of Hearings and Appeals within 20 days of the date of this ruling or decision; or, thereafter, to reopen this case. Ordinarily, such motions will not be granted unless you can demonstrate that you have new evidence to present that could not have been previously presented. You may also appeal this decision to the appropriate United States District Court. For wage garnishments cases, See 24 C.F.R. § 17.81, 31 C.F.R. § 285.119f), and 5 U.S.C. 701, *et seq.* For administrative offset cases, See 24 C.F.R. § 17.73(a), and 5 U.S.C. § 701, *et seq.*